
**Summary Report Of
Students Feedback Committee
(A.Y.2016-17)**

Student's feedback committee is constituted for conducting and analyzing the teaching process carried by teacher teaching the subject and assessed by students. The teachers teaching evaluation is based on overall observation and assessment of subject teacher while learning the subject. The objective of this process is an overall improvement in performance of the teacher in teaching by quantifying the assessment given by learners. The feedback is conducted on paper comprising of the below mentioned questions. This is institute level committee has a structure as:

01. Chairman: Principal
 02. Coordinator: Institute Level Mr. S. P. Tekade
 03. Coordinator: Departmental (For the academic year: 2016-17)
- ASH: Mr. S. A. Howal/Ms. P. S. Mhetre
Chemical Engg.: Mr. J. V. Mapara
Civil Engg.: Mr. V. D. Kamble
Computer Engg.: Mr. A. K. Mangore
EXTC: Mr. S. N. Pandharkame/ Mr. S. K. Muchande
Mechanical Engg: Mr. V. K. Patil

Feedback Parameters: The student's feedback was obtained for theory as well as practical teaching. The questionnaires for the same were given below.

Theory

1. Whether teaching relevant to syllabus?
2. Whether the review is made on previous class?
3. Whether students' doubts addressed satisfactorily?
4. Whether notes provided?
5. Whether teacher available for clearing doubts?
6. Whether teacher creates subject interesting?



7. Whether audible to last benches?
8. Whether voice is clear & understandable?
9. Whether the language is simple & understandable?
10. Whether faculty reports at right time to class?
11. Whether allotted time is fully utilized?
12. Whether board writing visible to last benches?
13. Whether handwriting is legible?
14. Whether LCD /OHP are used as required?
15. Whether models are used?
16. Whether practical/real-life examples are given?
17. Overall Impression of the teacher?

Marks Awarding Criterion: The responses are given by the students based on the above parameters. The criterion for awarding the marks is:

I: Very Poor

2: Poor

3: Good

4: Very Good

5: Excellent

The responses on feedback form were marks by the students for the respective subject teacher. The five-point marks were converted to the percentage and performance index out of 10 was reported. The online report of every subject teacher was analyzed & prepared by the feedback coordinator. The feedback report was sent to the teacher through the principal, HOD and feedback coordinator.

Objectives:

Sr.No.	Title of Program	Objectives
1	Student Feedback	1. To provide students with the opportunity to comment on the quality of their learning experiences, as required in preparation for and as part Student

		<p>Feedback of review processes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. To assess the success of academic provision in relation to the expectations of students 3. To provide feedback to lecturers in order to improve deliver and/or content of the study-unit. 4. To identify obstacles in attaining learning objectives and outcomes of particular course.
--	--	---

Planning:

Sr.No.	Title of the Program	Proposed Date	Execution Date
1.	First feedback of Odd semester	Feedback No.1 of Odd Semester will be Conducted during first Sessional exam.	22/08/2016 to 24/08/2016 for SE/TE/BE & 15/09/2016 to 17/09/2016 for FE
2	Second feedback of Odd semester	Feedback No.2 of odd semester will be Conducted during second Sessional exam	24/10/2016 to 26/10/2016 for SE/TE/BE & 3/11/2016 to 5/11/2016 for FE
3	First feedback of Even semester	Feedback No.1 of Even Semester will be Conducted during first Sessional exam.	27/02/2017 to 01/03/2017 for FE/SE/TE/BE
4	Second feedback of Even semester	Feedback No.2 of Even semester will be Conducted during second Sessional exam	17/04/2017 to 19/04/2017 for FE/SE/TE/BE



Summary Table: Feedback No.01 Odd semester 2016-17

Branch	Number of Subjects/Faculty	Excellent ≥ 9	Very Good 8-9	Good 7-8	Average 5-7	Below Average ≤ 5
First Year Engineering	24	06	10	06	02	00
Mechanical Engineering	19	03	07	02	07	00
Computer Engineering	18	04	07	06	01	00
Civil Engineering	17	02	10	02	03	00
Chemical Engineering	16	05	02	04	05	00
Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering	19	00	09	06	04	00
Information Technology	10	04	02	03	01	00
Total	123	24	47	29	23	00

Action Taken on Feedback:

- H.O.D. or his representative personally interacts with all faculties while distributing the feedback.
- H.O.D. appreciates the strong points from the feedback analysis from the faculty.
- Counsel & motivate for improvement of the performance of the faculty having week feedback.



Summary Table: Feedback No.02 Odd semester 2016-17

Branch	Number of Subjects/Faculty	Excellent ≥ 9	Very Good 8-9	Good 7-8	Average 5-7	Below Average ≤ 5
First Year Engineering	24	05	05	12	02	00
Mechanical Engineering	19	04	06	03	06	00
Computer Engineering	18	04	11	01	02	00
Civil Engineering	17	02	10	04	01	00
Chemical Engineering	16	04	07	05	00	00
Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering	19	00	09	06	04	00
Information Technology	04	00	01	02	01	00
Total	117	19	49	33	16	00

Action Taken on Feedback:

- H.O.D. or his representative personally interacts with all faculties while distributing the feedback.
- H.O.D. appreciates the strong points from the feedback analysis from the faculty.
- Counsel & motivate for improvement of the performance of the faculty having week feedback.



Summary Table: Feedback No.01 Even semester 2016-17

Branch	Number of Subjects/Faculty	Excellent ≥ 9	Very Good 8-9	Good 7-8	Average 5-7	Below Average ≤ 5
First Year Engineering	24	01	15	06	02	00
Mechanical Engineering	17	01	04	08	03	01
Computer Engineering	17	00	12	04	01	00
Civil Engineering	16	05	07	03	01	00
Chemical Engineering	21	06	08	06	01	00
Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering	17	04	04	06	02	01
Information Technology	09	05	04	00	00	00
Total	121	22	54	33	10	02

Action Taken on Feedback:

- H.O.D. or his representative personally interacts with all faculties while distributing the feedback.
- H.O.D. appreciates the strong points from the feedback analysis from the faculty.
- Counsel & motivate for improvement of the performance of the faculty having week feedback.



Summary Table: Feedback No.02 Even Semester 2016-17

Branch	Number of Subjects/Faculty	Excellent ≥ 9	Very Good 8-9	Good 7-8	Average 5-7	Below Average ≤ 5
First Year Engineering	24	01	11	09	03	00
Mechanical Engineering	17	01	07	05	03	01
Computer Engineering	17	00	10	05	02	00
Civil Engineering	16	02	10	02	02	00
Chemical Engineering	20	03	06	07	04	00
Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering	17	01	06	06	04	00
Information Technology	09	05	04	00	00	00
Total	120	13	54	34	18	01

Action Taken on Feedback:

- H.O.D. or his representative personally interacts with all faculties while distributing the feedback.
- H.O.D. appreciates the strong points from the feedback analysis from the faculty.
- Counsel & motivate for improvement of the performance of the faculty having week feedback.



Feedback coordinator

